

**Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
Request for Applications SFY 16-17
Grants Management Advisory Committee
Proposal Evaluations**

Ind. Living PBS Prevention of Child Abuse/Neglect Respite

Name of Applicant: _____

1. ORGANIZATION STRENGTH (Up to 25 Points) Points _____

Elements to be evaluated: (1) Project alignment with RFA mission and goals. (2) Organization's qualifications to provide proposed service. (3) Staff qualifications. (4) Strength of board (or other) leadership. (5) Comprehensive planning.

- 0 elements addressed satisfactorily – Score 0 points
- 1 or 2 elements satisfactory, others unsatisfactory – Score between 1 and 6 points
- 2 or 3 elements strong, others unsatisfactory – Score between 7 and 13 points
- 2 or 3 elements strong, others satisfactory – Score between 14 and 20 points
- 4 or 5 elements strong, others (if any) satisfactory – Score between 21 and 25 points

2. SERVICE DELIVERY (Up to 30 Points) Points _____

Elements to be evaluated: (1) Service Matrix. (2) Education and outreach. (3) Overall service delivery. (4) Use of evidence-based or evidence-informed practices.

- 0 elements addressed satisfactorily – Score 0 points
- 1 or 2 elements satisfactory, others unsatisfactory – Score between 1 and 7 points
- 2 or 3 elements strong, others unsatisfactory – Score between 8 and 15 points
- 2 or 3 elements strong, others satisfactory – Score between 16 and 23 points
- All 4 elements strong – Score between 24 and 30 points

3. COLLABORATIVE PARTNERSHIPS (Up to 10 Bonus Points) Points _____

Elements to be evaluated: (1) Roles of partners. (2) Capability of lead organization. (3) Funding distribution. (4) Working relationship among partners.

- 0 elements addressed satisfactorily – Score 0 points
- 1 or 2 elements satisfactory, others unsatisfactory – Score between 1 and 3 points
- 2 or 3 elements strong, others unsatisfactory – Score between 4 and 6 points
- 2 or 3 elements strong, others satisfactory – Score 7 or 8 points
- All 4 elements strong – Score 9 or 10 points

4. COST-EFFECTIVENESS / LEVERAGING OF FUNDS (Up to 20 Points) Points _____

Elements to be evaluated: (1) Overall cost-effectiveness of project. (2) Other resources.

- 0 elements addressed satisfactorily – Score 0 points
- 1 element satisfactory, 1 unsatisfactory – Score between 1 and 6 points
- 2 elements satisfactory – Score between 7 and 13 points
- 2 elements strong – Score between 14 and 20 points

5. OUTCOMES (Up to 20 Points)

Points _____

Elements to be evaluated: (1) Use of outcomes. (2) Impact of services to client. (3) Impact of services to community.

- 0 elements addressed satisfactorily – Score 0 points
- 1 or 2 elements satisfactory, others unsatisfactory – Score between 1 and 6 points
- 1 or 2 elements strong, others satisfactory **OR** all 3 elements satisfactory – Score between 7 and 13 points
- All 3 elements strong – Score between 14 and 20 points

6. REFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Up to 5 Points)

Points _____

GMU staff has already calculated the score for the reference questionnaires. You will find it at the end of the GMU Comments document that identifies the strengths and weaknesses of this proposal.

TOTAL POINTS AWARDED _____