



Fund for a Healthy Nevada SFY18-19 Recommendations

Organization	SFY14-15 Biennium Funding Amount – Per Year	SFY16-17 Biennium Funding Amount – Per Year	Next Biennium Funding Amount, Per Year - Requested	Next Biennium Funding Amount, Per Year - Recommended	Application Averaged Score Ranking ¹
Carson City Health and Human Services	\$89,912	\$72,463	\$129,027	\$74,004	2
Nevada Statewide Coalition Partnership	\$125,891	\$144,278	\$135,000	\$101,812	4
Southern Nevada Health District	\$385,130	\$440,000	\$450,000	\$413,133	1
Washoe County Health District	\$186,950	\$201,977	\$276,542 (inc. \$30,000 NTPC)	\$211,073²	3
	\$787,883	\$858,718	\$1,020,569	\$800,023	N/A

Background: The Tobacco Prevention and Control Program’s Request for Applications for SFY18 and 19 included three components. All four agencies listed above applied for Components 1 and 2. Only Southern Nevada Health District applied for Component 3 in addition to Components 1 and 2.

Evaluation Committee General Recommendations

- Carson City Health and Human Services: for Component 1, link strategies and activities to outcomes; regarding the health systems component, the applicant should articulate a two-year timeline, include more quantitative numbers, and clarify direction change for desired outcomes.
- Nevada Statewide Coalition Partnership: increase Nevada Tobacco Prevention Coalition participation, increase efficiency by having one coalition work on the health systems component, develop health systems activities beyond introductory steps (outline in narrative), and address rural disparities in the work plan.
- Southern Nevada Health District: reduce travel budget (specifically to Reno), remove “etc.” from budget; regarding the surveillance component, include an estimate of the number people to be surveyed as appropriate to funding requested, and include clear methodology.
- Washoe County Health District: objectives should be more aggressive for youth prevention and eliminating secondhand smoke goals, the efficacy of smoke-free meetings is questionable and should be linked with other strategies to be truly evidenced-based, such as replacing the number of smoke-free meetings with number of venues that adopt policies. Additionally, the applicants should make work plan and narrative language consistent (while aligning with best practices), specify a partner to follow up on efforts to educate decision-makers and leaders about the importance of smoke-free jurisdictions, evaluation measures for both components need to be improved, substitute an intern or temporary P/T employee instead of adding an FTE.
- Overall: applicants’ strategies and activities described in the project narrative should include clear and direct purposes in future applications and provide more quantitative information throughout the application.
- RFA Scoring Process: for applicable applicants, place increased emphasis on the history of past outcomes (and/or the status of current progress achieved).

¹ Scoring criteria accounted for factors besides grant writing such as historical reporting, burden, and the potential to address disparities.

² Washoe County Health District’s award includes \$30,000 for the Nevada Tobacco Prevention Coalition (NTPC) which was previously funded by all applicants. This reallocation was prompted as a result of input communicated by NTPC and stakeholders.