Submitted by Peter Vogel, Catholic Charities of Northern Nevada, May 24, 2017

Dear GMAC Committee,

We request that you reconsider the recommendation of the One-Stop-Shop subcommittee and reevaluate Option 1 based on the following:

- The 23-minute sub-committee meeting and the data they received was not sufficient to determine the best use of these vital funds
- The documents the sub-committee received on Sunday night, April 30, just hours prior to the sub-committee meeting at 9am the following day presented two options. Option 1, which showed that just 3% of the funding would go to the Rural Counties was immediately rejected.

Option 1 presented the following:

Clark County Washoe County	\$ 682,264	30% 67%
	\$1,547,471	
Rural Counties	\$ 70,265	3%

Given that 58% of the funding in Catholic Charities of Northern Nevada's proposal was
designated to serve rural counties, we believe a more accurate representation of Option 1
would be as follows:

Corrected Option 1:

Clark County	\$ 682,264	30%
Washoe County	\$ 852,571	37%
Rural Counties	\$ 765,165	33%

• If this committee would make the recommendation to select Option 1, every county in the state will receive services (which has been the current practice for the past two years).

Option 2 consequences:

- Option 2 does not factor in scoring. Every proposal that met the criteria would be funded at their requested amount minus 23%, regardless of how the proposals were scored.
- Catholic Charities of Northern Nevada, currently through this grant, provides services to every county in Nevada except Clark and is providing these resources either directly or indirectly to 161 separate locations throughout the state.
- With Option 2, Catholic Charities of Northern Nevada would be the only agency currently funded through this grant taking a decrease in funding (while being the highest scored proposal) and would no longer be able to serve all the counties they are currently serving.
- Several counties would no longer receive the benefits of this grant.