



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
IDEA Part C Office
3416 Goni Road, D-132
Carson City, Nevada 89706
Telephone (775) 684-4210 • Fax (775) 684-0574

MINUTES

Name of Organization: Nevada Early Intervention Interagency Coordinating Council (ICC)

Date and Time of Meeting: July 18, 2013

Meeting held via Video Conference at the Following Locations:

Reno: Nevada Early Intervention Services, Northwestern Region
2667 Enterprise, Conference Room
Reno, Nevada

Las Vegas: Nevada Early Intervention Services, Southern Region
1161 South Valley View Blvd., Conference Room
Las Vegas, Nevada

Elko: Nevada Early Intervention Services, Northeastern Region
1020 Ruby Vista Drive, Conference Room
Elko, Nevada

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

Members Present: Dr. Ann Bingham, Candice Coleman, Michelle Canning, Lisa Cridland, Maynard Florence, Veronica Domingues-Gephart, Joyce Larsen, Catherine Lyons, Sherry Manning, Robin Kincaid, Lorraine O'Leary, Johnette Oman, Julie Ortiz, Karen Stephens, Caroline Taylor; Fatima Taylor, Sherry Waugh, Ellen Richardson-Adams for Mary Wherry, Jack Zenteno

Members Absent: Kimberly Everett, Jan Fragale, Sherry Halley, Kerriane Sorensen

Public Attendees: Diane Ross, The Continuum; Patrick Santoro, Therapy Management Group (TMG); Sally Cannon, Positively Kids; Tina Gerber-Winn, Aging and Disability Services Division (ADSD); Janelle Mulvenon, Nevada Early Intervention Services (NEIS) Northwest; Christine Herrera, Easter Seals Nevada-North (ESN); Mary Kay Attenburgh, Family TIES; Angela Tate, Integrated Support Solutions (ISS); Amber Joiner; Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS); Michele Ferrall, ADSD; Stephanie Houle, Parent; Sarah Cwiak, Kideology

Part C Staff Present: Dan Dinnell, Iandia Morgan, Laura Valentine

II. Public Comment

Diane Ross from The Continuum came before the ICC to request their consideration in advocating for making changes to Medicaid Chapter 1700. She explained Amerigroup became an MCO three years ago in Nevada and began interpreting this chapter differently around whether or not a developmental disability is related to an injury or illness. This is very difficult to prove so within the last three years over 50% of children have been denied. However, those children who were not denied received less visits than requested by providers. Ms. Ross stated she has been working with the Nevada Center for Advocacy and Law (NDALC) and meeting with the Amerigroup medical directory to come to a solution but to no avail. She asks that the ICC use their influence to advocate for changes to this Medicaid chapter.

III. Discuss, Nominate and Approve New Nevada Early Intervention Interagency Coordinating Council (ICC) Parent Representative Co-Chair

Dr. Ann Bingham began by stating Melissa West has resigned her position so the Council is in need of a parent co-chair. She opened the floor for discussion and nominations. Upon discussion between members a question was raised if the parent co-chair position could be shared between two parents. After a review of the bylaws, it was determined they did refer to a singular person. It was agreed the bylaws should be reviewed and updated at the next meeting since they had not been reviewed in over 5 years. They should be changed to reflect what the council is currently doing including the possibility of having two parent co-chairs. It was noted that in past there have been two parent co-chairs.

MOTION: Move to nominate and approve Candice Coleman as a parent co-chair for the north and Lisa Cridland as a parent co-chair for the south.
BY: Sherry Waugh
SECOND: Sherry Manning
VOTE: PASSED

The question of how long is a co-chair term and are there age clauses for the children of ICC parents was asked. Dr. Bingham related the bylaws state co-chair terms are two years but is unaware of specifically when that term begins. However, member terms are no more than three years. Daniel Dinnell stated a parent can no longer be on the ICC when their child reaches the age of 12 and at least one parent on the ICC must have a child under the age of 6.

IV. Approval of the Minutes from the April 18, 2013 Meeting

Dr. Bingham asked for corrections or comments to the minutes. The following corrections and comments were noted:

- Michelle Canning noted a correction under Item XI on page 7, line 6 where the sentence partially reads “which is also called the death bill of rights”. It should be “...deaf bill of rights”.
- Ms. Canning asked for clarification as to why Item VII reflects it will be on the July agenda as is not. Dr. Bingham related the presenter asked for it to be postponed until the October meeting.
- Robin Kincaid clarified that under Item XI on page 7 regarding the “bill of rights” should actually be “parent bill of rights.”
- Ms. Kincaid noted on line 3 of page 8 under Item XV(b) where the sentence in part reads “and the complaint will close are some point”. The word “are” should be changed to “at.”

MOTION: To accept the April 18 minutes with the noted corrections
BY: Joyce Larsen
SECOND: Catherine Lyons
VOTE: PASSED
ABSTENTIONS: Sherry Manning and Johnette Oman

V. New Member Biographies

- **Candice Coleman**
- **Dr. Catherine Lyons**
- **Caroline Taylor**
- **Jack Zenteno**

Candice Coleman stated she has always been involved with the special needs community. She explained her aunt and uncle both have special needs, her mom is a middle school parent educator, her sister is a social worker and she herself is a paraprofessional. She told of how her daughter was born at 34 weeks, was diagnosed with Robertsonian Translocation Down Syndrome, and the many surgeries she has had. Ms. Coleman spoke of her involvement in the community which has included local school boards and Down Syndrome associations both in Nevada and Colorado.

Caroline Taylor stated she has worked for Nevada Disability Advocacy and Law Center (NDALC) for the last 10 years. She also related she is a twin of a person with mental retardation and a mother of a three year old.

Catherine Lyons stated she has her doctorate in early childhood special education with emphasis in early childhood education and intellectual disabilities and has been an assistant faculty for the last couple of years at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) teaching early childhood special education. She is also the Executive Director of the Lynn Bennett Early Childhood Education Center on the UNLV campus and previously was the director of the center for approximately 15 years. She spoke of her involvement on several different statewide committees.

Jack Zenteno stated he is the Chief of Child Care Programs for the State Welfare Division. He remarked his training began as a research scientist but worked into public health. Prior to his current position he has worked in the Newborn Hearing Screening Program, Maternal Child and Health, and Medicaid.

VI. Update on Nevada Department of Education (NDE) Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and Review of Licensure Requirements

Iandia Morgan reported Edie King and Sherry Halley have been working on the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for some time. It is now in the final stages of feedback and awaiting signatures. As for the conditional license, the approval process has been slowed due to administrative staff changes in the Licensure Office at the Nevada Department of Education (NDE). The information provided in meeting packet dates back to March of 2012.

Laura Valentine added she sent an email stating the MOU has had significant changes made to it and reviews have not been done by NDE or administration based on those changes. She explained the contract, license application, and statewide class requirements must all be tied into the MOU prior to reviews and finalization. Dr. Bingham noted both state universities should be shown in these documents.

Dr. Bingham related a total of 18 credits are needed for the endorsement and the endorsement is still in place; it has never gone away. The endorsement represents the holder is highly qualified. She added she went through the previous minutes and verified that Tina Gerber-Winn was concerned about maintaining the IDEA requirement of having highly qualified staff within the early intervention system. Ms. Morgan interjected the provisional license is what we are working on replacing with the conditional license. The provisional license went away two years ago. This license would be for those EI personnel who have taken a majority of their coursework and may not have completed all 18 credits. However, in the meantime, the endorsement has not gone away and personnel are still required to get it within three years of being hired. Ms. Morgan added they are planning on meeting with Jeanette Calkins of the NDE Licensure Office in August to discuss the details as well as the new requirement of having to take the practice test prior to new applicants even applying for the endorsement.

VII. Upcoming Professional Development Training

Ms. Valentine stated the Part C Office within their grant budget does have some allowance for monies to be spent on trainings. A couple of recent trainings were the SKI HI training for Developmental Specialist (DS) staff and a feeding training which was coordinated with Jan Marson. Both of these were available to EI personnel statewide. She related that at the statewide management meeting ADOS training and Hanen trainings were requested and discussed. However, the EI budget does have a line item amount to provide ADOS tools and the training which frees up dollars within the Part C Office training spending plan. Ms. Valentine requested anyone who has an idea for a specific training to contact her.

Ellen Richardson-Adams stated the funding in the EI budget is for ADOS II kits. There is a training DVD component that comes with the kit but the DVD is only an enhancement for those who have already had the training. This was approved in the budget and we are moving forward with purchasing those kits shortly. Dr. Bingham clarified ADOS is an assessment for children with the possibility of autism.

Ms. Valentine was asked if she would consider using part of the professional development funds to support staff in attending the National Division of Early Childhood Conference in San Francisco in October where they could receive CEUs. She stated support could possibly be provided for registration to the event but she would have to review her other commitments before committing. Ms. Valentine added there have been many requests for the Hanen training. A brief discussion on who could get CEUs from the Hanen training was held (i.e. only speech pathologists or could administrators, a DS, and family members receive them as well).

VIII. Update Legislative Follow-up on Bills Passed and the Impact on Early Intervention

Sherry Manning, Executive Director of the Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities (DD), thanked Ms. Kincaid for leading the discussion at the last meeting on the legislative updates. She explained there were many bills related to disabilities so she has reviewed the minutes of the last meeting to see what the discussions were on and then reviewed which bills may have an impact on EI. There was some discussion around AB210 which is an act relating to requiring an IEP team to consider certain factors when developing an IEP for a pupil with a hearing impairment. This bill did pass and became effective on July 1. SB337, also known as Rosa's bill, was passed and states the term "mental retardation" will be changed to "intellectual disability" in NRS and all similar state documents. Ms. Manning explained her office compiles the information on legislative bills and creates the matrix but are not the experts on each bill.

She then spoke in regards to the Able Act which is where parents/guardians can put dollars aside for education and not have it go against their assets. The DD Council did send

representatives to Washington D.C. in April and this was the main topic while there. She also related that once a year all the members of the DD Council goes to Washington D.C. and they would be happy to present issues which have a national impact on our EI children. It was suggested that the Medicaid issues discussed earlier might be a topic the ICC would like presented.

IX. The Nevada Commission on Services for Persons with Disabilities Meeting Update

Sherry Manning stated she was not able to attend all the meetings held by the Nevada Commission on Services for Persons with Disabilities (CSPD). However, the last meeting we have minutes on was in March. Of course, March thru May all the meetings were focused around legislative issues. One of those issues the CSPD looked at was the integration of EI. They also discussed the Association of People Supporting Employment First (APSE) conference which has to do with the employment for people with disabilities. Ms. Manning reported she attended the conference and related the movement nationally is towards employment first instead of what we currently have, which is institutionalized settings for employment with subminimum wage. She added Jack Mayes from NDALC spoke to this at the conference and she read the statement he had presented. Although this does not directly affect children in EI now, it could in the future. She related here in Nevada the budget for vocational rehabilitation received more funding for daycare services.

Dr. Bingham stated she has a part time appointment with Nevada Center for Excellence in Disabilities (NCED) and Scott Harrington, the Director of the Youth Transition Project, is very active in customized employment to ensure individuals with disabilities are being placed in a position where they have a true interest. He is real leader in this field and had a great segment on Nevada public television in regards to this issue recently. Ms. Manning stated the DD Council funded those efforts for the employment summit. She also remarked the states of Oregon and Rhode Island were just sued by the U.S. Department of Justice over this issue.

X. Status of New Federal Regulation Policies

Ms. Valentine stated the policies have been drafted and have now been sent to the Western Regional Resource Center (WRRRC) for review. The WRRRC provides technical assistance to the Part C Office. They are reviewing the policies for any further edits and how they comply with federal regulations. Once their review is done, they will be sent to OSEP for review and then be put out for public comment, which will be prior to the next ICC meeting. While waiting for the final WRRRC review, the Part C Office is working on the documents that are attachments to those policies. Once the policies have come further along in their draft process, subgroups will be created to start drafting the state policies. Dr. Bingham inquired at what point public comments will be taken and at what point will the ICC members receive a copy. Ms. Valentine replied she is hoping to send the policies to the ICC and have the public comment period at the same time they are sent to OSEP for review. She added there is a 60 day public comment period and is hopeful that it will occur around the same time as the next ICC meeting. The final policies are required to be submitted to OSEP prior to June 30, 2014. It was requested a copy of the policies be provided to ICC members by mail prior to the next meeting.

XI. Status Report on the Integration of Early Intervention Services into Aging and Disability Services

Ms. Gerber-Winn stated at the last ICC meeting proper funding for the Early Intervention System was discussed and since that time the Aging and Disability Services Division (ADSD) budget has been approved. One of the items proposed in the budget was to move service delivery more into the community providers, which was part of the budget projections for caseload. There is still work to done on making this happen but it is hoped it will help with timely access to

services. She related when the budget was finalized they had not anticipated Legislature moving the Part C Office from ASD to the Director's Office within the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Ms. Gerber-Winn introduced Amber Joiner who is the Deputy Director of Programs for DHHS. She related this surprise move does not change how ASD will move forward with their plans. They will still work together with the Part C Office in regards to service delivery of EI services.

Ms. Gerber-Winn explained ASD wants to instill more quality into the program. Due to the amount of growth that occurred and lack of staff, the day to day operations of the program has slipped. The Part C Office does a great job at ensuring federal regulations are met but the oversight of providers and resolving issues are part of ASD's role. This is why legislature approved approximately 20 staff be added to our budget. There will be a management analyst to work on contracts or agreements with providers and help manage the data. This will be a collaborative effort with the Part C Office to ensure data is being entered correctly into TRAC so our waiting list of services and autism data are as accurate as possible.

Ms. Gerber-Winn remarked that with the integration approved and the Part C Office now in the Director's Office there have been questions and concerns raised about ASD managing equally the EI services and Developmental services who also merged into ASD. She addressed the concerns by saying internally each of the programs are very dedicated to what they do but as an agency planning and transparency are key. A strategic plan is being developed with the help of Social Entrepreneurs. A steering committee has been created and by the end of the calendar year strategic goals should be developed. Focus groups will also be a part of this process.

Ms. Kincaid addressed Ms. Gerber-Winn about her quality control remarks stating the Part C Office is the quality control entity for the state and are the ones who ensure that IDEA has been implemented and the laws followed. She then inquired if there are additional positions to help support that effort in the Part C Office. Ms. Gerber-Winn responded the Part C Office did not receive any new positions. Ms. Kincaid then asked if those in EIS who are doing the work will be monitoring themselves. Ms. Gerber-Winn replied they will not because additional support will be provided through the new staffing that was approved. She added it is the intention of ASD to work with the Part C Office on this and related that she, Ms. Valentine, and Ms. Joiner have met to talk about the infrastructure needs of the Part C Office.

Ms. Joiner introduced herself and stated her intention is for the transitions taking place to be as smooth as possible. She informed the ICC she is committed to making progress and moving forward. Dr. Bingham extended an open invitation to Ms. Joiner to attend all ICC meetings.

Michele Ferrall, ASD Deputy Administrator over EIS and Developmental Services, introduced herself. She explained she was with Developmental Services for 15 years and will continue to work with Developmental Services on a statewide level as well as EIS. She and Ms. Richardson-Adams have been working very closely on coordinating services throughout the state and working on the quality management team. They will be holding interviews for the quality management team shortly. She indicated they are looking at strengthening the quality systems and being able to implement some changes in October. Ms. Richardson-Adams has been working on identifying where those staff are going to be statewide and primarily the majority of the quality management staff will be in the south to start. They will be working with the Part C Office to look at the federal complaints as well as the day to day operations of the providers and the timeliness of services.

Dr. Bingham stated her appreciation of the support that we are receiving from ASD and the Director's Office. She believes it is really important for this Council to be recognized for the role it is federally mandated to take and it is a boost to us to have both, Ms. Joiner and Ms. Ferrall, at the meeting today.

XII. Report on Information Obtained Through the Early Intervention Family Alliance (EIFA) Membership

Daniel Dinnell reported the process to get the membership started is still in process. There was a delay due to EIFA needing to provide vendor information to the state and when completing the membership application it was discovered there was only space for one name. Mr. Dinnell stated he communicated with the president of EIFA and was instructed on how to proceed for adding both members' names. All the information has been received and submitted so the application process should be complete soon.

XIII. Annual Self-Assessment Reports of Early Intervention Providers

a. Report of compliance and noncompliance by program

Ms. Valentine stated the Part C Office has been working with the programs on their Self Assessments (SA). The meeting packet includes these initial reports. She acknowledged there may be some errors within the reports. Julie Ortiz indicated she believes there is an error on page 2 of APT's report under Program Performance Based on Data for Timeliness. The report states 23 of the children had some delay in initiation of services but should actually say 23 of the children had no delay.

Ms. Morgan reported there are a total of 12 programs and each program did go through SA this year. The program's reported their information to the Part C Office by May 31 and then the Part C Office has a 30 day turnaround time to return their response to the programs. She noted the reporting timeframe for these are only through March 31, 2013 which is the first 3 quarters of the fiscal year.

A discussion ensued regarding the process for SA and program monitoring. Ms. Richardson-Adams briefly described the SA explaining each program pulls a calculated number of charts throughout the year to look for systemic issues. Each program in the EI system follows the same protocols and are held to the same requirements. This process does not replace overall monitoring by the Part C Office. She continued by stating when how to build a better system was reviewed, one component was to have the community providers contracted and budgeted through 3208, EIS. Unfortunately, while these provider relationships were being formed and providers were preparing to provide services, the components for the oversight of quality assurance was not built. Ms. Richardson-Adams reported the part of the quality management component that is coming in this next biennium is to address this overlooked issue. Once the relationship between the quality management teams and the Part C Office are worked out, it will be brought before the ICC for review. The Council asked that a chart of what monitoring activities have taken place along with when and where be provided at their next meeting.

Ms. Morgan stated that once the quality management teams are in place the Part C Office will need to review their monitoring process to ensure there is no duplication of efforts and both process are working collaboratively. She clarified that some of the response letters will show programs are at 100% and this is because the Part C Office put in place a process where if the programs corrected compliance issues prior to submitting their SA they would not be issued a finding. The Part C Office will verify to ensure the correction did take place.

Ms. Ortiz asked Ms. Richardson-Adams to clarify why the quality management team is made up of current EI personnel and why any new additional staff could not be put in the Part C Office. Ms. Richardson-Adams responded it is due to the way the budget was built and approved by legislature. She added there are now 11 positions in the south, 4.5 positions in the north, and one position in Elko allocated for quality management across the state. As for current EI personnel in the south, they were interested in transferring into the quality management positions. The other regions had no responses of interest. The south team will become the foundation for this new process. Ms. Ortiz asked if there was any concern regarding NEIS providing oversight even though they are an equal provider as the community providers they monitor. Ms. Richardson-Adams stated that was not a concern because the community providers are contracted through EIS budget number 3208.

Ms. Kincaid reiterated the ICC should be provided a monitoring schedule showing what activities will be taking place in future with whom and what frequency. She added the Part C Office is charged with monitoring, providing technical assistance and to help lead the programs out of the condition the State is under on a national basis. Sherry Waugh agreed that a visual chart of how the OSEP federal reviews, Part C Office monitoring and the SA all fit together. Ms. Morgan indicated it might be helpful for the ICC to see the specific phases of the monitoring cycle the Part C Office follows.

b. Presentation of Program Report Cards for SFY2012

Janelle Mulvenon reminded the ICC membership that the reports cards are based on data of two years ago.

c. Nevada's Determination Status by OSEP (if available)

Ms. Valentine reported OSEP's determination letter addressing Nevada's overall status based on the OSEP compliance matrix is at 66.67% and puts us at the "needs assistance" status. A few of the issues contributing to this low rating has to do with timely correction and the lack of timely service provision which is partly due to staff shortages and the lack of coordination of efforts between the Part C Office, EI and providers. She related in moving forward there are plans in place for Quality Assurance (QA) teams and building budgets so more children can be moved to community providers. She is hopeful that these types of activities will improve Nevada's rating next time.

Dr. Bingham asked since Nevada has remained in the "needs assistance" status for some time will OSEP be making a visit. Ms. Valentine replied they will not visit unless they are asked to and the Part C Office will continue to work with the Western Regional Resource Center (WRRRC) on any issues that we may request of them. She also related her contacts at WRRRC informed her there are other states also in the same status.

Dr. Bingham stated this is an area of concern and encourage Council members to read through the letter to have a better understanding of what the federal level concerns are. It will also be helpful as the Council reviews the monitoring process the Part C Office goes through with local programs. Ms. Kincaid requested the next agenda have an item where they could review a plan for the type of assistance and frequency that will be requested based on the federal needs assistance category.

XIV. Updates and Highlights from Early Intervention Programs

Dr. Bingham encouraged members to take the time to review the updates provided by the programs to become aware of all the great things they are doing.

XV. ICC Committees – Reports on Activities

a. Family Support Resource Subcommittee (FSRS)

Lisa Cridland reported the committee discussed the following: the final attendance at Disabilities Conference was 394, Goals is another company to offer services for ABA in Nevada, sitting up a table at the NEIS open house to distribute brochures, providing information on respite to the ICC, how to ensure all families are receiving the newsletter, and discussed changing the wording on the annual family survey because they are not in depth enough.

Dr. Bingham remarked it has been over five years since the last revision of the survey so if there are areas that are not being covered maybe it is time to review it. However, there are certain questions which are federally required to be asked because the Part C Office must report on them in the Annual Performance report and this is the only place to get those data. Ms. Valentine stated the ECAC had discussed doing a family outcome survey across early childhood. Margot Chapel sent out survey examples and one of them was remarkably similar to what we are using now. Dr. Bingham stated the last revision was done through a workgroup and inquired if there is time to review the survey prior to the 2014 distribution. Ms. Valentine replied yes there is time.

b. Finance Subcommittee Update

Johnette Oman stated the committee had a scheduled meeting but did not meet quorum. Ms. Ross, a committee member, suggested that with the Affordable Care Act changes and the insurance changes that will be coming because of this, the committee not move forward until all these pieces have settled down. Ms. Canning stated she has yet to determine what states have mandated insurance companies to pay for EI services.

XVI. Part C Information Reports

a. Complaint Matrix Review

Ms. Valentine reported the complaint from FY2009 which had to do with timely initiation of services remains open systemically. This directly impacts our OSEP rating which is at 66.67% as noted earlier. Nevada has had a long standing issue with correcting compliance in this area. For FY2013, there are 12 complaints some of which were resolved between provider and family prior to investigation. Ms. Morgan added that many of the complaints have had child correction verified but there are still systemic issues within many of the complaint findings.

b. Update on Administrative Complaint

Ms. Valentine stated she just recently sent a letter to NDALC regarding the findings and requesting a final meeting. She indicated all 108 child complaints identified have been closed. However, the systemic issue has not been rectified so the complaint will remain open.

c. SKI HI Training

Ms. Valentine stated Ms. King was coordinating this statewide training. The last of the two trainings is at the end of July. The first training was held in May. This training is specific to children with hearing impairment. With the completion of this training, it ensures every provider has at least one or two staff trained in SKI HI. Ms. Valentine added of those staff trained some will be going on to become trainers.

d. ENHANCE - Child Outcomes Data Study Program

Ms. Valentine stated the Part C Office was approached by SRI International in regards to assisting us in doing analysis of our child outcome summary form (COSF) data and provide feedback and suggestions. SRI informed us not all states use the same type of COSF. They mentioned that Teaching Strategies Gold was one commonly used by those states not using the COSF. The Early Childhood Advisory Council (ECAC) had discussed piloting this for kindergarteners at a previous meeting.

Ms. Oman suggested if this change is being considered, could a new eligibility tool other than HAWAII be looked into. This might be the time to identify what tools may now be on the market.

e. Technical Assistant Center on Social Emotional Interventions (TASCSEI)

Robin Kincaid reported TASCSEI is going through some changes. Diane Branson who was the trainer has left and the committee is looking at the Children's Cabinet to take over some of those responsibilities. Janice Lee the program director is the point person in Ms. Branson's absence. They continue to try to get the word out about TASCSEI and develop additional demonstration sites where families can learn more about the pyramid model and how they can support their child's development. She encouraged everyone to visit the TASCSEI website because it has valuable information and there is training available all the time which has Nevada registry credits associated with it.

f. Expanding Opportunities for Inclusion Project

Ms. Valentine stated because many of the same participants are on the TASCSEI and Expanding Opportunities committees combining the meeting is being discussed.

g. Review and Discuss Third Quarter State Fiscal Year 13 (SFY13) Data Report

Ms. Valentine stated at the last meeting a request was made to have a Waiting for Services by Program Report presented along with the third quarter data report. Both reports were included in the meeting handouts. Dr. Bingham mentioned the waiting for instruction number is a very nice addition to the data presented and appreciates the effort in putting that in additionally. Ms. Oman asked for the service coordination numbers to be reviewed for NEIS NW as she believes they are incorrect.

XVII. Consider Agenda Items for the Next Meeting

- Chapter 1700 Medicaid
- MOU with DOE regarding conditional licensure
- Nevada Policies regarding federal regulations
- Monitoring Schedule going forward and how all monitoring fits together
- Plan for type of assistance we will request based on the federal needs assistance category.
- Clarification of the QA process and how it connects to Monitoring
- Family Survey Re-evaluation

XVIII. Schedule Future Meetings

- October 10
- January 16, 2014
- April 17 - Face to Face in Reno
- July 10, 2014

XIX. Public Comment

No public comments were given.

XX. Adjournment

MOTION: To adjourn meeting at 12:20 pm.

BY: Sherry Manning

SECOND: Candice Coleman

VOTE: PASSED