Introduction to the State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR)

Executive Summary:

The Nevada Part C Office continues to evolve with the changing needs of our state and the children and families served by early intervention programs. We are receiving intensive technical assistance in several areas including personnel development, improving data tracking and analysis as well as for our State System Improvement Plan (SSIP). This Annual Performance Report (APR) reflects growth and areas needing improvement for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015.

General Supervision System:

The systems that are in place to ensure that IDEA Part C requirements are met, e.g., monitoring systems, dispute resolution systems:

The IDEA Part C Office maintains a general supervision system that includes procedures for monitoring for compliance and dispute resolution and to ensure all components of the statewide early intervention (EI) system meet requirements of Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The system is also designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the system in improving outcomes for children and families. The system supports activities to ensure early identification of infants and toddlers with disabilities and the timely provision of early intervention services.

Key activities utilized by the IDEA Part C Office to assess the implementation and impact of early intervention services in this reporting period include:

Collaboration:

The IDEA Part C Office strengthened collaborative working relationships with other statewide early childhood initiatives in the State to align goals, reduce duplication and strengthen the statewide infrastructure to improve outcomes for young children. Examples include:

- Participating on the Nevada Early Childhood Advisory Council
- Partnering with Nevada Technical Assistance Center for Social Emotional Intervention (TACSEI) to increase training on supporting social emotional development of infants and toddlers

Data Collection, Analysis, and Reporting:

The IDEA Part C Office maintains procedures for collecting, analyzing and reporting statewide data to monitor and evaluate the functioning of the EI system in meeting Part C requirements and improving outcomes for infants and toddlers and their families. Examples of these procedures include:

- Maintaining the statewide Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) data system for collecting key data from the point a child is referred to the EI system to the time the child exits part C services; the system also collects critical service data throughout the time the child is enrolled in early intervention services.
- Completing, analyzing and reporting data results to the U.S. Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), state administration, key stakeholders and the public on the effectiveness of the system in improving outcomes for young children with disabilities and their families.
- Collecting, compiling and analyzing data through the IDEA Part C Office Annual Family Survey to evaluate the impact of early intervention services in improving outcomes for families of infants and toddlers participating in early intervention services; and
- Compiling, analyzing data on specific outcomes for children served by the system by integrating data from the TRAC data system and the Child Outcomes analysis spreadsheet developed by the Early Childhood Outcomes (ECO) Center.

Monitoring System:

The IDEA Part C Office worked with national technical assistance providers (The Early Childhood Technical Assistance Center (ECTACenter). The Center for IDEA Early Childhood Data Systems (DaSy Center)) to review the components of the current monitoring system to determine where modifications may be needed to ensure the focus is on an ongoing development of a high quality accountability and quality improvement system. This process will continue through FFY 2016. Nevada is also participating in the Part C Results Based Accountability Cross State Learning Center, which will also continue through FFY 2018.

The current system includes:

- Implementing multi-level systems for verification of timeliness and accuracy of data entry by direct users of the TRAC system with specific focus on data related to child outcomes.
- Conducting ongoing desk audits of TRAC data and analyzing data across data sources to evaluate functioning of key system components at the state and program level.
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Technical Assistance System:

The mechanisms that the State has in place to ensure the timely delivery of high quality, evidenced based technical assistance and support to early intervention service (EIS) programs.

The IDEA Part C Office provides and/or facilitates the provision of training and technical assistance (TA), both systemic and program specific, to entities within the State to support program improvement and improved outcomes for children and families. In this reporting period, TA has been facilitated through requests to the national TA providers relative to child outcomes, data systems development and general supervision. Training and TA was provided to administration and leadership for other early childhood initiatives in the State not specific to Part C to ensure strong collaborations with the overall early childhood service system.

The IDEA Part C Office hosted monthly TA calls and quarterly videoconference sessions with management from all EI provider agencies. Topics were selected based on information and clarification that needed to be issued by the IDEA Part C Office and those requested by participants. Informational documents and resources on evidence-based practices issued by the national TA Centers were shared with programs on a regular basis.

Technical Assistance Sources From Which Nevada Received Technical Assistance and Actions Taken as a Result of that Technical Assistance.

In June 2015, Nevada received on-site TA support from The ECTA Center to conduct a stakeholder meeting to review the IDEA Part C Office process and procedures for Monitoring and General Supervision. The focus of the technical assistance was to help stakeholders recognize federal requirements related to the State’s accountability for Part C systems and the focus on Results Driven Accountability (RDA) Key elements for establishing a high quality Accountability and Quality Improvement System were reviewed and stakeholders were asked for recommendations for incorporating these elements in Nevada’s system. Revisions were made to Nevada’s system as a result of this process and were implemented in the FY 2015 monitoring processes. The revised procedures are not only focused on ensuring timely correction of noncompliance but on quality outcomes for children with disabilities and their families.

Nevada also received TA from the Center for Early Childhood Data Systems (DeSy) in the Fall of 2015 to review the statewide data system to assess its strengths and identify areas for improvement to support the IDEA Part C Office in utilizing data from the system to monitor program performance. The Part C Office has contracted with a programmer to work on some of the improvements suggested. This work is still in process.

Nevada also received TA from the Early Childhood Personnel Center to help design a comprehensive system of personnel development. That project is still in the works as well. A faculty member from UNLV was assisting the Part C Office with this, but not much progress has been made recently. We hope to make more progress during 2017 as EI and Part C merge to become more collaborative.

Professional Development System:

The mechanisms the State has in place to ensure that service providers are effectively providing services that improve results for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families.

The State has increased the focus on ongoing professional development for providers across the early childhood system for children birth to five, both for early intervention and for early childhood education. It is recognized that improved outcomes for children requires consistent implementation of evidence-based practices.

Ongoing improvement in the overall system of professional development for early intervention service (EIS) providers is being supported through a number of initiatives:

- A subcommittee of the ICC was established to review credentialing procedures for Developmental Specialists providing EI services.
- The IDEA Part C Office is partnering with the Early Childhood Personnel Center and key stakeholders in the State to establish the Nevada Early Childhood Systems of Learning (ECSol) Leadership Team to develop and sustain a statewide system of professional learning across agencies and disciplines to improve outcomes for all young children and their families.
- One member of the IDEA Part C Office team is also being designated as Comprehensive System of Personnel Development (CSPD) Coordinator for the early intervention system. This individual will collaborate with EI providers in development of a CSPD plan with a specific focus on implementation of evidence-based practices with fidelity and ensure the effort is coordinated with other early childhood personnel development initiatives.
- The University of Nevada, Reno (UNR) and the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) were both awarded grants through the U.S. Department of Education to support individuals in pursuing a Master’s Degree in Early Childhood Special Education.
- The IDEA Part C Office maintains a system for providing training to all new employees coming into the early intervention system, as well as existing employees directed to participate as a result of identification of noncompliance, through New Employee Orientation. This includes a comprehensive review of the system and stresses the importance of family centered evidenced-based practices.
Topical trainings are also provided or facilitated by the IDEA Part C Office as the need is identified through evaluation of the system or based on provider request. Examples of topical trainings include: training on development of functional outcomes, service coordination, family needs assessment, development of the IFSP, procedural safeguards, and requirements of IDEA Part C. All training sessions include an evaluation by participants where individualized program follow-up can be requested.

The IDEA Part C Office routinely distributes information on webinars and other resources related to evidence-based practices to all EI providers on an ongoing basis.

The IDEA Part C Office participates on the leadership team and provides financial support for implementation of trainings initiated through the Technical Assistance Center on Social Emotional Intervention for Young Children (TACSEI) project. This effort was expanded to facilitate a stronger emphasis on children ages birth to three.

There has also been a strong collaborative initiative between the IDEA Part C Office and the State’s Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) program to provide support for training for personnel who work with children with hearing impairment. This training is provided through the SKI-HI Institute and follow-up to develop an ongoing train-the-trainer model for Nevada is in process.

The Aging and Disability Services Division (ADSD) has initiated a process for creating a Program Development component that provides ongoing trainings to EIS providers on quality practices to support improved outcomes for children and families.

### Stakeholder Involvement:

- Apply this to all Part C results indicators

The mechanisms for soliciting broad stakeholder input on targets in the SPP, including revisions to targets:

Throughout the course of FFY 2015, the IDEA Part C Office presented data and other key information related to the SPP/APR indicators and related activities to various stakeholder groups. Key information was shared with the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Administration on at least a monthly basis and with Nevada’s Interagency Coordinating Council (ICC), including ICC Subcommittees, as appropriate, at all quarterly meetings. Information has been shared, and feedback obtained, from the early intervention services (EIS) provider community through the IDEA Part C Office monthly TA calls and quarterly meetings.

Additionally, the State Systemic Improvement Plan Phase III included a multitude of partners from community agencies the Nevada Department of Education (NDE), advocacy groups, and community stakeholders. Targets for the SIBP were developed in conjunction with these partners. The final draft of each indicator of the SPP/APR was reviewed with the ICC for feedback at the January 12, 2017 meeting prior to submission.

### Reporting to the Public:

How and where the State reported to the public on the FFY 2014 performance of each EIS Program or Provider located in the State on the targets in the SPP/APR as soon as practicable, but no later than 120 days following the State’s submission of its FFY 2014 APR, as required by 34 CFR §303.702(b)(1)(i)(A), and a description of where, on its Web site, a complete copy of the State’s SPP, including any revision if the State has revised the SPP that it submitted with its FFY 2014 APR in 2016, is available.

Updates are being made to Nevada's SPP. Nevada's FY 2015 SPP/APR will be posted to the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Director's Office website at http://dhhs.nv.gov/Programs/AIDE/Publications/ no later than May 31, 2017. No revisions were made to the State’s SPP for this reporting period. Additionally, FY2015 Report Cards for each of the Early Intervention Service Provider Programs in the State will be posted at the same location. A news release will be created to report to the media on the release of the FY 2015 SPP/APR no later than June 1, 2017 through the DHHS Public Information Office.

### Actions required in FFY 2014 response

---
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**Indicator 1: Timely provision of services**

**Historical Data and Targets**

**Baseline Data: 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data</strong></td>
<td>61.60%</td>
<td>59.20%</td>
<td>51.14%</td>
<td>57.00%</td>
<td>36.00%</td>
<td>57.00%</td>
<td>72.00%</td>
<td>75.34%</td>
<td>96.04%</td>
<td>97.57%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanation of Changes**

Data for FFY 2014 was not included in the historical data. The correct data for last fiscal year is now reflective of the state's performance.

**FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

Add a comment by typing it here and clicking "Add"

Follow Up □ Add

**Attachments**

- nv-cfr0215p4d.indicators.15mings-timely.pdf

Uploaded By: Landia Morgan
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Indicator 1: Timely provision of services
FFY 2015 Data

Monitoring Quality Early Intervention Services in Natural Environments
Compliance indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

Data Source

Data to be taken from monitoring or state data system and must be based on actual, not an average, number of days. Include the state’s criteria for “timely” receipt of early intervention services, i.e., the time period from parent consent to when IFSP services are actually initiated.

Measurement

Percent = [# of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner] / [# of infants and toddlers with IFSPs] * 100.

Account for untimely receipt of services, including the reasons for delays.

Instructions

If data are from state monitoring, describe the method used to select EIS programs for monitoring. If data are from a state database, describe the time period in which the data were collected (e.g., September through December, fourth quarter, selection from the full reporting period) and how the data accurately reflect data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.

Targets must be 100%.

Describe the results of the calculations and compare the results to the target. Describe the method used to collect these data and if data are from the state’s monitoring, describe the procedures used to collect these data. States report in both the numerator and denominator under indicator 1 on the number of children for whom the state ensured the timely initiation of new services identified on the IFSP. Include the timely initiation of new early intervention services from both initial IFSPs and subsequent IFSPs. Provide actual numbers used in the calculation.

The state’s timeliness measure for this indicator must be either: (1) a time period that runs from when the parent consents to IFSP services or (2) the IFSP initiation date (established by the IFSP team, including the parent).

States are not required to report in their calculation the number of children for whom the state has identified the cause for the delay as exceptional family circumstances documented in the child’s record. If a state chooses to report in its calculation children for whom the state has identified the cause for the delay as exceptional family circumstances documented in the child’s record, the numbers of these children are to be included in the numerator and denominator. Include in the discussion of the data, the numbers the state used to determine its calculation under this indicator and report separately the number of documented delays attributable to exceptional family circumstances.

Provide detailed information about the timely correction of noncompliance as noted in OSEP’s response table for the previous APR. If the state did not ensure timely correction of the previous noncompliance, provide information on the extent to which noncompliance was subsequently corrected (more than one year after identification). In addition, provide information regarding the nature of any continuing noncompliance, methods to ensure correction, and any enforcement actions that were taken.

FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of infants and toddlers with IFSP who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner</th>
<th>Total number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>97.57%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91.89% Did Not Meet Target</td>
<td>Slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable in the Historical Data and Targets page.

Explaination of Slippage

With the change in Nevada’s monitoring structure, only six (6) of the thirteen (13) early intervention service (EIS) provider programs were monitored by the IDEA Part C Office in FFY 2015. Although there was an equitable breakdown of the number of children served statewide to ensure a representative selection of children for federal and state reporting, the state reported 20% as children this reporting year. The lower representation of total number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs reported in this year’s data may have contributed a value that is less than that of the slippage identified in FFY 2015.
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Number of documented delays attributable to exceptional family circumstances
This number will be added to the "Number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive their early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner" field above to calculate the numerator for this indicator.

What is the source of the data provided for this indicator?
☐ State monitoring
☐ State database

Describe the method used to select EIS programs for monitoring.

Nevada's Definition of Timely Services:

Early intervention services identified on the initial and subsequent Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) of an eligible child, including IFSP reviews, will be provided to the child and family as soon as possible following the family's consent to implement the IFSP. Determination of whether or not the services are provided in a timely manner will be based on:

1. Initiation of new services within 30 days from the date the parents provided consent for the IFSP service; or
2. The projected IFSP initiation date as determined by the IFSP team and indicated on the IFSP. This may include services such as periodic follow-up or services needed on an intermittent basis (e.g. on a quarterly basis).

Historically, the IDEA Part C Office has required all early intervention service provider programs to participate in the monitoring process on an annual basis through a self-assessment process. Nevada's process for monitoring EIS provider programs for compliance with the requirements of the IDEA was revised for FY 2015. Nevada's IDEA Part C Office has implemented a bi-annual comprehensive monitoring cycle. Selected EIS provider agencies receive comprehensive monitoring during the first year and the remaining EIS provider agencies receive comprehensive monitoring the following year (alternating bi-annually). The criteria used for the selection of programs for comprehensive monitoring included having an equitable breakdown of the number of children served statewide to ensure a representative selection of children for federal and state reporting. Although all EIS provider programs are not receiving comprehensive monitoring on a yearly basis, all EIS provider programs are monitored every year in indicators where data is available through the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) Data System.

Data for this indicator are gathered through child record reviews and are required to include all IFSPs (initiates, initial, annual and all reviews including 6-month reviews or other reviews requested by the program or family). The timeframe covered for the FY 2016 monitoring was all activity between July 1, 2015 and March 31, 2016. A minimum number of records was required to be reviewed by the IDEA Part C Office, which included: 10% of enrollment for large programs (300 or more active children) and 20% for smaller programs (fewer than 300 active children). This provided a sufficient number of records to ensure the data was representative of the statewide enrollment and accurately reflected the programs performance relative to all children served by the program.

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)

Results of FFY 2015 Monitoring and General Supervision Activities

Comprehensive Monitoring:

Of the six (6) EIS Provider Programs that Received Comprehensive Monitoring, the results are as follows:

- One (1) EIS provider program was found to be at 100% compliance for this reporting period.
- Four (4) EIS provider programs were found to have on-going noncompliance.
- One (1) EIS provider program was found to have one (1) finding of noncompliance from FFY 2014.

Ongoing Tracking of Noncompliance of Programs Monitored in Prior to FFY 2015:

Of the seven (7) EIS provider programs that were not scheduled for comprehensive monitoring for prior to this reporting period, one (1) program had ongoing noncompliance from FFY 2013 in this indicator. The IDEA Part C Office has verified correction of the noncompliance for this program through on-site file reviews. Based on data gathered it was determined that children identified as part of the initial finding had IFSP services initiated, though late, and the program was implementing the requirement for all children enrolled at the time of the verification. A notice of correction was issued to the program by the IDEA Part C Office on February 28, 2017.

As of the submission of this report, the program has not demonstrated correction as a result of a focused monitoring, which will be reported in FY2018-19R submission.

Summary:

A total of four (4) new findings of noncompliance were issued as a result of general supervision activities in FFY 2015. Verification activities during this period showed two (2) EIS provider programs with ongoing noncompliance. One (1) new EIS Program with a finding issued in FFY 2013 has achieved subsequent correction. The other program with a finding issued in FY2014 could not be verified to be corrected as of the submission of this report.

A Corrective Action Plan (CAP) has been developed and implemented for each of the six (6) EIS programs with noncompliance related to this indicator. The plan includes actions and steps that will assist the programs with demonstrating progress in order to reach full compliance in providing timely services to children and families.
Indicator 1: Timely provision of services
Required Actions from FFY 2014

Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services in Natural Environments

Compliance Indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.
(20 U.S.C. 1416(e)(3)(A) and 1442)

Actions required in FFY 2014 response

Note: Any actions required in last year's response that are related to correction of findings should be responded to on the "Correction of Previous Findings: of Noncompliance" page of this indicator. If your State's only actions required in last year's response are related to findings of noncompliance, a text field will not be displayed on this page.

Add a comment by typing it here and clicking "Add"

Comment History

Attachments

Uploaded By: Landia Morgan

If you need assistance or have questions on using GRADS360, please contact 1-877-457-3336 or Email: staff@ed.gov
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Correlation of Findings of Noncompliance Identified In FFY 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Identified</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected Within One Year</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Subsequently Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FFY 2014 Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected

Describe how the State verified that the source of noncompliance is correctly implementing the regulatory requirements.

Verification of the EIS provider programs are implementing Requirements for All Children

A total of six (6) findings of noncompliance were issued for this indicator in FFY 2014. This included investigation of child complaints, focused monitoring reviews, and annual self-assessment/monitoring procedures. The IDEA Part C Office verified timely correction of five (5) of the six (6) findings by collecting new data through the FFY 2015 annual monitoring process. Verification of correction on noncompliance includes:

The IDEA Part C Office verified that EIS provider programs with findings of noncompliance in FFY 2014 were meeting requirements for timely initiation of services for all children enrolled in their program. The program was conducted by gathering and analyzing new data for each program through the following activities and site visits during this reporting period. The results were as follows:

- Six (6) findings of noncompliance were issued to five (5) EIS provider programs in FFY 2014. The IDEA Part C Office verified correction of five (5) of the six (6) findings issued in FFY 2014. The IDEA Part C Office verified correction for five (5) EIS provider programs.

- One (1) finding was issued to one (1) EIS provider program as a result of a focused monitoring conducted by the IDEA Part C Office. The program was notified of timely correction of the noncompliance within the same reporting period based on new data reviewed and analyzed during the EIS provider program self-assessment verification process.

- One (1) finding was issued to one (1) EIS provider program as a result of an investigation of an individual child complaint. New data were gathered and verified through a focused monitoring process conducted by the IDEA Part C Office. The program was notified of timely correction of the noncompliance.

- Three (3) findings were issued to three (3) EIS provider programs as a result of annual monitoring. New data were gathered and analyzed for all three (3) EIS provider programs through the monitoring process at the end of the fiscal year. All three (3) programs were notified of timely correction of the noncompliance, which was within the same reporting period that the finding was issued.

Describe how the State verified that each individual case of noncompliance was corrected.

It was verified through child record reviews and ongoing reporting that services were initiated for all children, although late, unless the child was no longer in the jurisdiction of the EIS provider program. This is documented and verified through the utilization of a standard individual child correction form that is a part of the state’s monitoring procedures. When appropriate (depending on the length of the delay), a remedy for the delay was also offered to the family to compensate for the delay in initiation of services.

FFY 2014 Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected

Actions taken if noncompliance not corrected

None of the findings issued in FFY 2014 were identified as corrected through new data gathered to ensure systemic compliance had been achieved. One (1) finding remains from FFY 2012. One (1) finding of noncompliance for one (1) EIS program identified in FFY 2014 could not be verified as corrected at the time of submission of this report. The IDEA Part C Office worked with the program to develop a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) and is conducting ongoing verification. At the most recent verification review the program was found to have three (3) children who did not have timely initiation of one specific service. The underlying issue was identified as unmet eligibility for service providers. The IDEA Part C office will monitor development of these IFSPs for the program through the TRAC Data system and conduct at least quarterly verification to ensure correction is achieved.

Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified Prior to FFY 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY2013</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Not Yet Verified as Corrected as of FFY 2014 APR</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FFY 2013 Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected

Describe how the State verified that the source of noncompliance is correctly implementing the regulatory requirements.

Verification the Program is Implementing Requirements for all Children Enrolled in the Program

New data gathered through onsite file reviews showed the program had initiated IFSP services in a timely manner for all IFSPs reviewed. It was, therefore, determined the program was meeting requirements for timely initiation of IFSP services for all children enrolled. The IDEA Part C Office issued a notification of subsequent correction of the noncompliance on February 28, 2017.

Describe how the State verified that each individual case of noncompliance was corrected.

Individual Child Correction

The IDEA Part C Office verified through file reviews that the services for children with identified noncompliance had been initiated, though late, at the time the finding was issued. As appropriate, depending on the length of the delay, the program was required to offer a remedy to the family for missed services.
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REMAINING FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE IDENTIFIED IN FFY 2013, AS NOTED IN OSEP’S RESPONSE TO THE STATE’S FFY 2014 SPP/APR

(NEVADA PART C)

In its FFY 2014 SPP/APR, the State reported that there was one or more remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2013. OSEP’s June 28, 2016 response to the State’s FFY 2014 SPP/APR noted that the State had one (1) finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2013, and required the State to report, with the FFY 2015 SPP/APR, that it had corrected the remaining findings.

With its FFY 2015 SPP/APR, the State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2013 was corrected.

**Correction of Remaining Finding of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2013**

| Number of remaining FFY 2013 findings noted in OSEP’s Response to the State’s FFY 2014 SPP/APR | 1 |
| Number of remaining FFY 2013 findings the State has verified, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02, as corrected | 0 |
| Number of remaining FFY 2013 findings the State has NOT verified as corrected | 1 |
## Historical Data and Targets

### Baseline Data: 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥ 96.00%</td>
<td>98.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>≥ 96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>99.60%</td>
<td>99.50%</td>
<td>99.70%</td>
<td>99.50%</td>
<td>98.00%</td>
<td>93.00%</td>
<td>98.79%</td>
<td>99.72%</td>
<td>98.86%</td>
<td>98.86%</td>
<td>98.86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>≥ 96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Targets: Description of Stakeholder Input

The targets for this indicator through FFY 2018 were presented to the State ICC on January 12, 2017 for review and comment. No changes were proposed, therefore the targets will be maintained at the level previously established. Given the state's performance history, it is felt that these targets are appropriate and accounts for where the majority of services are provided. Based on the discussion and input from ICC stakeholders, the need to individualize service delivery for infants and toddlers was also taken into consideration when making this determination.
Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments

Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

Prepopulated Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Overwrite Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 Child Count/Educational Environment Data Groups</td>
<td>7/14/2016</td>
<td>Number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings</td>
<td>2,959</td>
<td>3151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 Child Count/Educational Environment Data Groups</td>
<td>7/14/2016</td>
<td>Total number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs</td>
<td>3,163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanation of Alternate Data

In preparation of the report, data errors were discovered in the calculation for the number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. Nevada uses two data sets to determine where the majority of services are provided: (1) home and (2) program designed for typically developing children. The data is accurately reflected in this submission.

FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings</th>
<th>Total number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,151</td>
<td>3,163</td>
<td>98.86%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>99.62%</td>
<td>Met Target</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)

Data for the indicator are generated using the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) child data collection system. These data are reported based on the 618 data report for December 1, 2015. Nevada uses two (2) data sets to determine where the majority of services are provided: home and program designed for typically developing children.

Thirteen early intervention programs were reviewed during this reporting period based on 618 data from December 1, 2015 to determine whether children enrolled in each program received the majority of their services in settings considered natural environments. Overall, the state exceeded the target by more than 3%.
**Indicator 2: Services in Natural Environments**

**Required Actions from FFY 2014**

*Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments*

Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services in Natural Environments

Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:

A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)
Does your State's Part C eligibility criteria include infants and toddlers who are at risk of having substantial developmental delays (or "at-risk infants and toddlers") under IDEA section 632(5)(B)(i)? No

### Historical Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Year</th>
<th>Target ≥</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.70%</td>
<td>68.40%</td>
<td>68.50%</td>
<td>68.60%</td>
<td>65.25%</td>
<td>65.78%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.80%</td>
<td>40.20%</td>
<td>41.20%</td>
<td>41.20%</td>
<td>43.61%</td>
<td>43.94%</td>
<td>39.94%</td>
<td>39.94%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75.80%</td>
<td>69.70%</td>
<td>70.50%</td>
<td>71.80%</td>
<td>71.70%</td>
<td>70.76%</td>
<td>70.80%</td>
<td>70.80%</td>
<td>70.80%</td>
<td>70.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.10%</td>
<td>37.10%</td>
<td>37.20%</td>
<td>37.40%</td>
<td>38.24%</td>
<td>38.24%</td>
<td>38.24%</td>
<td>38.24%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.00%</td>
<td>71.20%</td>
<td>71.30%</td>
<td>71.40%</td>
<td>66.08%</td>
<td>66.08%</td>
<td>66.08%</td>
<td>66.08%</td>
<td>66.08%</td>
<td>66.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44.60%</td>
<td>41.00%</td>
<td>44.30%</td>
<td>44.40%</td>
<td>45.90%</td>
<td>41.70%</td>
<td>41.70%</td>
<td>41.70%</td>
<td>41.70%</td>
<td>37.44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**
- Gray – Data Prior to Baseline
- Yellow – Baseline
- Blue – Data Update

### FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>66.31%</td>
<td>66.84%</td>
<td>67.37%</td>
<td>67.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>40.04%</td>
<td>40.04%</td>
<td>40.14%</td>
<td>40.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>70.86%</td>
<td>70.86%</td>
<td>71.96%</td>
<td>71.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>38.34%</td>
<td>38.34%</td>
<td>38.44%</td>
<td>38.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>66.18%</td>
<td>66.18%</td>
<td>66.28%</td>
<td>66.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>41.80%</td>
<td>41.80%</td>
<td>41.90%</td>
<td>41.90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**
- Blue – Data Update

### Targets: Description of Stakeholder Input

The stakeholders involved in re-establishing targets in FFY 2013 submission were State ICC and Child Outcome Taskforce members. Progress data from that period was utilized for re-establishing targets through FFY 2018. These data sources included: a review of Nevada’s data quality profile, comparison of Nevada’s progress data with national averages and other states progress data with similar eligibility criteria, comparison between the year to year data of Nevada to identify patterns and trends overtime, and utilization of the meaningful difference calculator in order to ensure that Nevada is making a significant improvement in the results for children and families.

The targets through FFY 2018 were again presented to State ICC stakeholders on January 12, 2017 for review and comment. No changes were proposed based on the progress the state has made from the previous year. Therefore, the targets will be maintained at the level previously established. Given the state’s performance history, it is felt by the stakeholders that the targets are appropriate. The state’s restrictive eligibility criteria was also taken into consideration when making this determination.

The targets are being maintained based on the levels established in the FFY 2013 submission.
Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services in Natural Environments

Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:

A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.
(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data

Number of infants and toddlers with IFSPs assessed 2091.00

Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Children</th>
<th>Percentage of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Infants and toddlers who did not improve functioning</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>541.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it</td>
<td>669.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>633.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Infants and toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>242.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program (c+d)/(a+b+c+d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Denominator</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1302.00</td>
<td>1849.00</td>
<td>63.32%</td>
<td>66.31%</td>
<td>70.42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Met Target No Slippage

A2. The percent of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program (d+e)/(a+b+c+d+e).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Denominator</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>875.00</td>
<td>2091.00</td>
<td>37.56%</td>
<td>40.04%</td>
<td>41.85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Met Target No Slippage

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

Outcome B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Children</th>
<th>Percentage of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Infants and toddlers who did not improve functioning</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>469.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it</td>
<td>835.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>660.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Infants and toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>124.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program (c+d)/(a+b+c+d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Denominator</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1495.00</td>
<td>1967.00</td>
<td>68.67%</td>
<td>70.86%</td>
<td>76.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Met Target No Slippage

B2. The percent of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program (d+e)/(a+b+c+d+e).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Denominator</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>784.00</td>
<td>2091.00</td>
<td>36.61%</td>
<td>38.34%</td>
<td>37.49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Children</th>
<th>Percentage of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Infants and toddlers who did not improve functioning</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>497.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it</td>
<td>614.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Infants and toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>779.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Infants and toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers</td>
<td>197.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1/31/2017
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Denominator</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program ((c+d)/(a+b+c+d)).</td>
<td>1393.00</td>
<td>1894.00</td>
<td>61.11%</td>
<td>66.18%</td>
<td>73.55%</td>
<td>Met Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2. The percent of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program ((d+e)/(a+b+c+d+e)).</td>
<td>976.00</td>
<td>2091.00</td>
<td>37.44%</td>
<td>41.80%</td>
<td>46.68%</td>
<td>Met Target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

**Was sampling used?** No

**Did you use the Early Childhood Outcomes Center (ECO) Child Outcomes Summary Form (COSF)?** Yes

**Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)**

The data collected for infants and toddlers who received six (6) months or longer of early intervention services for FFY 2013-2014 were collected using the Child Outcome Summary Form (COSF) 7-point rating scale. Nevada is reporting complete data for 2,091 of 2,096 (99.8%) of infants and toddlers who exited services with a program length of six (6) months or longer. Representation of progress data has significantly increased compared to the previous years. Analysis of data for FFY 2015 indicates 5 infants and toddlers were not accounted (based on the number of children who received six (6) months of service prior to exiting). This demonstrates significant progress in the representation of the states data.

Progress data for these five (5) children in services for six (6) months or longer was not able to be reported due to the following reasons:

- Entry data was submitted but the child did not receive intervention for the entire six (6) months timeframe due to loss of contact with families.
- Entry data was submitted for the child; however, exit data was not submitted due to a lack of internal tracking processes.
- Exit data was submitted for the child; however, entry data had not been submitted. Therefore, progress could not be determined.

The states child outcome progress data represents a substantial increase in the number of targets met and exceeded in FFY 2015. Progress data in all three (3) child outcomes increased substantially with an increase that ranged from .88%-12.44% compared to FFY 2014.

Nevada has continued to strive for a higher percentage of data for measuring infants and toddlers progress after receiving early intervention services. Based on the decisions made to effectively improve Nevada's data collection system related to this indicator, the states current tracking processes will continue to be reviewed and improved in areas that focus on ensuring all children who received services for six (6) months or longer are having an entry and exit COSF completed. However, 99.8% of Nevada's infants and toddlers progress data is represented and shows a substantial rate of improvement from previous years.
Indicator 3: Early Childhood Outcomes
Required Actions from FFY 2014

Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments
Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:

A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

Actions required in FFY 2014 response
none

Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response
Indicator 4: Family Involvement

Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments

Results indicator: Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:

A. Know their rights;
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and
C. Help their children develop and learn.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

Historical Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2006 Target ≥</td>
<td>91.00%</td>
<td>93.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>93.00%</td>
<td>94.00%</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>95.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>94.29%</td>
<td>91.00%</td>
<td>93.20%</td>
<td>97.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>97.64%</td>
<td>95.83%</td>
<td>97.20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2006 Target ≥</td>
<td>91.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>93.00%</td>
<td>94.00%</td>
<td>94.00%</td>
<td>94.00%</td>
<td>94.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>91.32%</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>94.10%</td>
<td>94.00%</td>
<td>91.00%</td>
<td>91.00%</td>
<td>94.28%</td>
<td>96.44%</td>
<td>93.48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2006 Target ≥</td>
<td>89.00%</td>
<td>89.00%</td>
<td>90.00%</td>
<td>91.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>91.00%</td>
<td>93.00%</td>
<td>95.30%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.97%</td>
<td>98.82%</td>
<td>96.90%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Gray – Data Prior to Baseline | Yellow – Baseline | Blue – Data Update

FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target A ≥</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.50%</td>
<td>97.00%</td>
<td>97.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target B ≥</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>95.50%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target C ≥</td>
<td>93.00%</td>
<td>93.50%</td>
<td>94.00%</td>
<td>94.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Blue – Data Update

Targets: Description of Stakeholder Input

The stakeholders involved in re-establishing targets in FFY 2013 submission were State ICC and Child Outcome Taskforce members. Progress data from that period was utilized for re-establishing targets through FFY 2018. These data sources included: a review of Nevada’s data quality profile, comparison of Nevada’s progress data with national averages and other states progress data with similar eligibility criteria, comparison between the year to year data of Nevada to identify patterns and trends overtime, and utilization of the meaningful difference calculator in order to ensure that Nevada is making a significant improvement in the results for children and families.

The targets through FFY 2018 were again presented to State ICC stakeholders on January 12, 2017 for review and comment. No changes were proposed based on the progress the state has made from the previous year. Therefore, the targets will be maintained at the level previously established. Given the state’s performance history, it is felt by the stakeholders that the targets are appropriate. The states restrictive eligibility criteria was also taken into consideration when making this determination.
Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services in Natural Environments

Results Indicator: Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:

A. Know their rights;
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and
C. Help their children develop and learn.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

**FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of respondent families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family know their rights</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1. Number of respondent families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family know their rights</td>
<td>369.00</td>
<td>371.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2. Number of responses to the question of whether early intervention services have helped the family know their rights</td>
<td>391.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1. Number of respondent families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family effectively communicate their children's needs</td>
<td>367.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2. Number of responses to the question of whether early intervention services have helped the family effectively communicate their children's needs</td>
<td>391.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1. Number of respondent families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family help their children develop and learn</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2. Number of responses to the question of whether early intervention services have helped the family help their children develop and learn</td>
<td>392.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

**Description of A Slippage**

The major factor resulting in slippage in this outcome appears to be a slight increase in the number of families who indicate they were undecided related to this outcome. Ten (10) of the 392 families (3%) responding to this item in the 2016 survey indicated they were "undecided". This is in comparison to six (6) of 321 (2%) in 2015 indicating they were undecided. Based on this data, families responding to the 2016 survey who stated they were undecided increased by 1% over the 2015 survey. There has been a change of providers within many of the programs in the past year, this could account for the increase due to unexperienced staff providing services to the families. This is being addressed by ensuring all new personnel receive training in parent rights, state policies, and other IDEA regulations.

Describe how the State has ensured that any response data are valid and reliable, including how the data represent the demographics of the State.

The instrument utilized for the 2015 Annual Family Outcomes survey was developed by a stakeholder workgroup and has been used for seven (7) consecutive years. It consists of 20 close-ended questions that use a five-point Likert scale (strongly agree, agree, undecided, disagree, and strongly disagree). It also includes one (1) open-ended question that allows families to provide narrative comments. Families also have the option of not answering questions if they feel they are not applicable. The survey is printed in English and Spanish and distributed to families via postal mail.

To ensure the data is representative of the demographics of the State, the IDEA Part C Office used the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) database to obtain the names and addresses of all families who had a child with an IFSP for a minimum of six (6) months and was receiving early intervention services from one (1) of the state or community early intervention programs as of September 2, 2016. A total of 1,787 children met criteria and these families were sent a survey for each child in the home enrolled in early intervention services. A cover letter accompanied each survey, as well as a postage-paid return envelope. The cover letter informed families their survey should be returned to the IDEA Part C Office and all responses would remain confidential. Families were instructed to answer the survey questions and return them by October 26, 2016. Local early intervention programs were notified when the surveys were sent to families. They were asked to encourage families in their program to respond to the survey.

A total of 215 responses were received after the initial mailing. In October 2016, a reminder was sent to all families that had not responded to the survey after the initial mailing. Families were again asked to return this survey by November 11, 2016. Through the process, it was determined 95 addresses were no longer valid; therefore, a second survey was not sent to those families. The final number of children for whom a survey was sent was 1,692 which was 100 more than the 1,592 distributed for the 2014 Survey.

The total number of unduplicated survey responses received from the FFY 2015 survey was 392 which is 69 more than the 323 responses to the FFY 2014 survey. The rate of return for the FFY 2015 survey was 23% (390/1,692). This is an increase of 2.7% in the response rate from the FFY 2014 survey which was 20.3%. It exceeds the rate of return for FFY 2013 (20.3%), FFY 2012 (19%) and FFY 2011 (16.5%). The rate of return for FFY 2015 was 29%. The FFY 2014 survey had a higher rate of return surveys (28.8) with a lesser number of surveys mailed out (338/1,173) than the FFY 2015 Family Survey.

Survey results were analyzed on a statewide basis but were also disaggregated by each early intervention program in the State. All personally identifiable information specific to the family or any individual service provider is redacted prior to public reporting. The published survey results include parent comments and are distributed to all early intervention programs for review of performance and parent comments. As a result, programs may discuss issues with direct service personnel to ensure parent's concerns are being addressed. This data supports individual programs in program planning and improvement. In addition, the complete survey report will be posted on Nevada’s website and disseminated to the Nevada Interagency Coordinating Council (ICC), regional programs, Aging and Disability Services Division (ADSD) and the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). The results of the 2016 Survey are as follows:

1. **Know Their Rights**

Statewide: This data is based on responses to Question 5 on the SFY 2016 Annual Family Survey which states, “Early Intervention helps me know and understand my parent rights.”

The total number of families responding to Question 5 was 391. Of those responding, 369 (94%) reported they agree that early intervention had helped their family know their rights under the IDEA. 290 respondents indicated they strongly agree and 79 indicated they agree. Nevada’s performance in FFY 2015 does not meet the State’s target of 96% for this reporting period and is lower than the 97% of responses to the same question on the 2015 survey.

1. **Effectively Communicate Their Children’s Needs**
The total number of families responding to Question 15 was 391. Of those responding, 367 (93%) reported early intervention services were effective in communicating their child’s needs. Of the 391 total responses, 273 chose Strongly Agree and 94 chose Agree. Nevada’s performance in FFY 2015 did not meet the State target of 95.0% for this reporting period. A total of 14 (4%) of the families responding indicated they were "undecided" on this statement.

1. Help Their Children Develop and Learn

Statewide: This data is based on responses to Question 2 of the SFY 2016 Annual Family Survey which states, “My Early Intervention providers show me how I can help my child develop and learn.”

The total number of families responding to Question 2 was 392. Of those responding, 371 (94%) reported they agree early intervention service providers show them how to help their child develop and learn. Of the 371 responses, 283 chose Strongly Agree and 88 chose Agree. Nevada’s performance in FFY 2015 exceeded the state target of 93.0%.

Responses to the family survey are analyzed to assess the representativeness of the response group. Responses from most race/ethnicity groups are consistent with the percent of the sample population. There is a slightly higher response rate from families identifying themselves as "White" and a slightly lower rate of return from families identifying themselves as "Hispanic/Latino". The following table reflects the percentages for distribution and return of the survey based on race/ethnicity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th># Surveys Distributed Statewide</th>
<th>% of Statewide Total</th>
<th># Responses Statewide</th>
<th>% of Statewide Total</th>
<th>Rate of Return by R/E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 - Distribution and Rate of Return by Race/Ethnicity

Was sampling used?  No
Was a collection tool used?  Yes
Is it a new or revised collection tool?  No

Yes, the data accurately represent the demographics of the State
No, the data does not accurately represent the demographics of the State

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)
Indicator 4: Family Involvement
Required Actions from FFY 2014

Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments
Results indicator: Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:

A. Know their rights;
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and
C. Help their children develop and learn.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 5: Child Find (Birth to One)

Historical Data and Targets

Baseline Data: 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>0.47%</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
<td>0.65%</td>
<td>0.63%</td>
<td>1.02%</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
<td>0.80%</td>
<td>1.12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:  
- Gray – Data Prior to Baseline
- Yellow – Baseline
- Blue – Data Update

Historical Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:  
- Blue – Data Update

Targets: Description of Stakeholder Input

The stakeholders involved in re-establishing targets in FFY 2013 submission were State ICC and Child Outcome Taskforce members. Progress data from that period was utilized for re-establishing targets through FFY 2018. These data sources included: a review of Nevada’s data quality profile, comparison of Nevada’s progress data with national averages and other states progress data with similar eligibility criteria, comparison between the year to year data of Nevada to identify patterns and trends over time, and utilization of the meaningful difference calculator in order to ensure that Nevada is making a significant improvement in the results for children and families.

The targets through FFY 2018 were again presented to State ICC Child Find Subcommittee on January 5, 2017 and State ICC stakeholders on January 12, 2017 for review and comment. No changes were proposed based on the progress the state has made from the previous year. Therefore, the targets will be maintained at the level previously established. Given the state's performance history, it is felt by the stakeholders that the targets are appropriate.

The ICC Child Find Subcommittee is comprised of stakeholders from the State of Nevada higher education system, NV Department of Education representation, early intervention/early childhood community partner representatives, State of Nevada early intervention partner representatives, and ICC members.
Indicator 5: Child Find (Birth to One)

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

Prepopulated Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Overwrite Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 Child Count/Educational Environment Data Groups</td>
<td>7/14/2016</td>
<td>Number of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Census Annual State Resident Population Estimates April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2015</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
<td>Population of infants and toddlers birth to 1</td>
<td>35,737</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs</th>
<th>Population of infants and toddlers birth to 1</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>444</td>
<td>35,737</td>
<td>1.12%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>1.24%</td>
<td>Met Target</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)

Data for this indicator are generated through the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) data system. This count represents all children with an active Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) on December 1, 2015. This is a point-in-time count. Nevada count of children served, ages birth to one (1) year for this reporting period was 444 which was 1.24% of the general population of infants in the State. When compared to other states and the District of Columbia, Nevada ranked 22nd (tied with Illinois) in the percent of population served. Nevada's percent served (1.24%) was slightly above the national average for the U.S. and outlying areas which was 1.20%.

The data for FFY 2015 shows improvement in the percent of population served compared to the December 1, 2014 count of 391 children and 1.12% of the population served.
## Indicator 5: Child Find (Birth to One)
### Required Actions from FFY 2014

**Monitoring Priority:** Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

**Results indicator:** Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### Actions required in FFY 2014 response

- none

### Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response

- None
Indicator 6: Child Find (Birth to Three)

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

**Historical Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥</td>
<td>1.56%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>1.76%</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>1.36%</td>
<td>1.36%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>1.69%</td>
<td>1.54%</td>
<td>2.09%</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
<td>2.38%</td>
<td>2.78%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Grey – Data Prior to Baseline, Yellow – Baseline, Blue – Data Update

**FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Blue – Data Update

**Targets: Description of Stakeholder Input**

The stakeholders involved in re-establishing targets in FFY 2013 submission were State ICC and Child Outcome Taskforce members. Progress data from that period was utilized for re-establishing targets through FFY 2018. These data sources included: a review of Nevada’s data quality profile, comparison of Nevada’s progress data with national averages and other states progress data with similar eligibility criteria, comparison between the year to year data of Nevada to identify patterns and trends overtime, and utilization of the meaningful difference calculator in order to ensure that Nevada is making a significant improvement in the results for children and families.

The targets through FFY 2018 were again presented to State ICC Child Find Subcommittee on January 5, 2017 and State ICC stakeholders on January 12, 2017 for review and comment. No changes were proposed based on the progress the state has made from the previous year. Therefore, the targets will be maintained at the level previously established. Given the state's performance history, it is felt by the stakeholders that the targets are appropriate.

The ICC Child Find Subcommittee is comprised of stakeholders from the State of Nevada higher education system, NV Department of Education representation, early intervention/early childhood community partner representatives, State of Nevada early intervention partner representatives, and ICC members.
Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

Prepopulated Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 Child Count/Educational Environment Data Groups</td>
<td>7/14/2016</td>
<td>Number of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs</td>
<td>3,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Census Annual State Resident Population Estimates April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2015</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
<td>Population of infants and toddlers birth to 3</td>
<td>105,721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs</td>
<td>3,163</td>
<td>FFY 2014 Data*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population of infants and toddlers birth to 3</td>
<td>105,721</td>
<td>FFY 2015 Target*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015 Data</td>
<td></td>
<td>FFY 2015 Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
<td>Met Target</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slippage</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)

Data for this indicator is gathered through the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) statewide data system and includes all children with an active Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) on December 1, 2015. This is a point-in-time count.

Statewide: On December 1, 2015, a total of 3,163 infants and toddlers in Nevada, ages birth to three (3), had an active IFSP. This number divided by the total estimated population of 105,721 children in this age range in the state indicates 2.99% of the population had an IFSP on that date. The State exceeded the performance target of 2% for this reporting period.

When compared to the 50 states and the District of Columbia, Nevada ranked 22nd in the percent of the general population served for infants and toddlers ages birth to age three (3). The State performance of 2.99% for this reporting period was slightly below the average of 3.00% for the U.S. and Outlying Areas.

Performance for this reporting period shows a significant increase over the 2,889 children receiving services on December 1, 2014 and over the 2.78% of population served as of that date.

Cumulative data shows a total of 5,576 eligible children with an IFSP received early intervention services during this reporting period.
Indicator 6: Child Find (Birth to Three)
Required Actions from FFY 2014

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find
Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 7: 45-day timeline

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

Compliance indicator: Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an initial evaluation and initial assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### Historical Data

**Baseline Data: 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>67.10%</td>
<td>92.52%</td>
<td>94.10%</td>
<td>91.30%</td>
<td>69.00%</td>
<td>94.00%</td>
<td>99.40%</td>
<td>99.45%</td>
<td>99.83%</td>
<td>99.83%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**
- Gray – Data Prior to Baseline
- Yellow – Baseline
- Blue – Data Update

### FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 7: 45-day timeline

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

Compliance indicator: Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an initial evaluation and initial assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### FFY 2015 Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an initial evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting was conducted</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,844</td>
<td>99.83%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

**Number of documented delays attributable to exceptional family circumstances**

This number will be added to the "Number of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an initial evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting was conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline" field above to calculate the numerator for this indicator.

- 356

What is the source of the data provided for this indicator?

- State monitoring
- State database

Provide the time period in which the data were collected (e.g., September through December, fourth quarter, selection from the full reporting period).

The data reported for this indicator include all eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an initial evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting conducted within this reporting period (July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016).

Describe how the data accurately reflect data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.
FFY 2015 Performance

This data includes all eligible infants and toddlers with an Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) for whom initial evaluation/assessment and initial IFSP meetings were conducted from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 and is therefore representative of the total population served.

The performance data for this indicator are taken from the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) data system. All early intervention service (EIS) providers in the State are required to maintain individual child data in the TRAC system for all children enrolled in their programs. The data for this report are based on the final data for the FFSY 2015 reporting period. The data shows:

- A total of 3,220 children had an initial evaluation/assessment and an initial IFSP meeting convened during the reporting period.
- 2,844 children had their initial IFSP meeting conducted within 45 days from the date they were referred to the early intervention system.
- 356 children had an initial IFSP meeting convened beyond the 45 day timeline where the delay was due to family circumstances.
- 3,200 (99.38%) of all initial IFSPs were compliant with the 45-day timeline requirement.

Family circumstances documented as reasons for delay in meeting the 45-day timeline for convening the initial IFSP included:

- Family cancellation of appointments
- Child hospitalized or ill
- Parent not available to schedule the appointment within the 45-day timeline (work schedule, vacation, relocating, etc.)
- Parent had personal or medical emergency and was not available for appointments

Monitoring EIS Provider Programs for Compliance with 45-Day Requirements

A total of thirteen (13) EIS Provider Programs were active in the State during this reporting period. Monitoring of these programs for this indicator was conducted through desk audit of data from the TRAC system. The Part C Data Manager generates reports for each provider agency on a quarterly basis and each program is given opportunity to complete any needed data clean-up at this time. Compiled data for the first three (3) quarters of the fiscal year are utilized for annual program monitoring purposes. Each program's performance/compliance status is based on the compiled data for the first three (3) quarters of the fiscal year. A finding of noncompliance was issued to any program whose performance is less than 100%. Verification of data accuracy may also be verified through the review of child records on a random basis. When applicable, data from the fourth quarter may be utilized to verify the program's correction of noncompliance.

Results of FFY 2015 Monitoring

Thirteen (13) EIS programs were monitored through the TRAC data system for compliance with 45-day timeline requirements in FFSY 2015.

- Seven (7) EIS programs were found to be at 100% compliance
- Four (4) EIS programs were issued a new finding of noncompliance for this indicator. Three (3) programs had performances which are considered substantially compliant (95% or above). One (1) program issued a new finding had a performance of 91%.
- Two (2) EIS program have ongoing noncompliance from SFY 2014, one (1) with a current performance of 99.60% and one (1) with a current performance of 99%.

The seven (7) IFSPs delayed due to program circumstances were developed within a range of 53 to 85 days from the date of referral to the early intervention system. One (1) IFSP was delayed due to an interpreter not being available and one (1) was delayed due the schedule of the appropriate therapists. The remaining five (5) IFSPs were delayed to illness or cancellation of the program MDT member.

While the State did not meet the target of 100% for FFSY 2015, only one (1) program was issued a finding in this indicator that was not substantially compliant. This program had a complete turnover of administration and supervision within the program during this period.

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)
Indicator 7: 45-day timeline
Required Actions from FFY 2014

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find
Compliance indicator: Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an initial evaluation and initial assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response, not including correction of findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Correction of Previous Findings of Noncompliance

Compliance indicator: Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an initial evaluation and initial assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Identified</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected Within One Year</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Subsequently Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FFY 2014 Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected

Describe how the State verified that the source of noncompliance is correctly implementing the regulatory requirements

New data reports for this indicator are generated on a quarterly basis from the TRAC data system. New data reports generated in quarters subsequent to the issuing of the finding are reviewed. When a program was found to be at 100% for one (1) quarter (depending on the level of noncompliance) based on the new data, the program was notified of correction.

In FFY 2014, two (2) programs had findings in this indicator. Verification of correction was completed for one (1) program on January 4, 2016 and for one (1) program on June 30, 2015.

Describe how the State verified that each individual case of noncompliance was corrected

Through the TRAC data collection system, individual child correction was verified for all children based on the fact that all had an IFSP developed, though late. The Part C Office provides training and technical assistance to all EIS programs on the requirements of this indicator.

Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified Prior to FFY 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Not Yet Verified as Corrected as of FFY 2014 APR</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 8: Early Childhood Transition

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

Compliance indicator: The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C</td>
<td>2,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B</td>
<td>1,586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)
Indicator 8A: Early Childhood Transition

Historical Data and Targets

**Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition**

**Compliance Indicator:** The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler's third birthday;

B. Notified [consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State] the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler's third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and

C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler's third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(26 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

**Historical Data**

**Baseline Data: 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>85.71%</td>
<td>89.70%</td>
<td>94.00%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98.00%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>98.40%</td>
<td>98.92%</td>
<td>95.49%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: [ ] Grey – Data Prior to Baseline [ ] Yellow – Baseline [ ] Blue – Data Updated

**FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

Add a comment by typing it here and clicking "Add" [ ] Follow Up [ ] Add

**Comment History**

[ ]

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Uploaded By</th>
<th>Uploaded Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No APR attachments found.

https://osep.grads360.org/
Indicator 8A: Early Childhood Transition
FFY 2015 Data

Comments
Add a comment by typing it here and clicking "Add"

Follow Up □ Add

Comment History □

Attachments

File Name | Uploaded By | Uploaded Date
---|---|---

No APR attachments found

SPP/APR: Progress | Introduction | Indicators: 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8A | 8B | IC | 9 | 10 | 11

Last saved 5/2/2017 5:25 PM by Brenda Bledsoe
Indicator 8A: Early Childhood Transition
Required Actions from FFY 2014

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

Compliance Indicator: The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday if the toddler is potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday if the toddler is potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(3)(B) and 1442)

Data Source
Measurement
Instructions

Actions required in FFY 2014 response

none

Note: Any actions required in last year's response that are related to correction of findings should be responded to on the "Correction of Previous Findings of Noncompliance" page of this indicator. If your State's only actions required in last year's response are related to findings of noncompliance, a text field will not be displayed on this page.

Comments

Add a comment by typing it here and clicking "Add"

Follow Up
Add

Comment History

Attachments

File Name
Uploaded By
Uploaded Date

No APR attachments found.

https://osep.grads360.org/
**Indicator 8A: Early Childhood Transition**

Correction of Previous Findings of Noncompliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Identified</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected Within One Year</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Subsequently Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FFY 2014 Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected**

Describe how the State verified that the source of noncompliance is correctly implementing the regulatory requirements.

In FFY 2014, one (1) EIS program was issued one (1) new finding of noncompliance on June 30, 2015. This program performance percentage was 95% and based on one (1) of ten (10) IFSPs not meeting the requirements. The program was also issued a finding of ongoing noncompliance percentage at 1%. A verification visit was conducted by the IDEA Part C Office in November of 2015. New data was gathered by reviewing transition plans in IFSPs completed since the FFY 2014 monitoring. Based on the new data gathered, it was determined the program was meeting requirements for this indicator for all children enrolled. The program was notified of correction of noncompliance on November 16, 2015.

Describe how the State verified that each individual case of noncompliance was corrected.

The program was required to reconvene the IFSP with the family to ensure the missing component of the Transition Plan were addressed. Verification of the correction was conducted in November 2015.

**Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified Prior to FFY 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Not Yet Verified as Corrected as of FFY 2014 APR</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments

Add a comment by typing it here and clicking "Add".

Follow Up

Add

Comment History

Attachments

File Name

Uploaded By

Uploaded Date

https://osep.grads360.org/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Uploaded By</th>
<th>Uploaded Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No APR attachments found</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPP/APR: Progress | Introduction | Indicators:** 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | BA | BB | BC | 9 | 10 | 11
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Indicator 8B: Early Childhood Transition
Historical Data and Targets

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

Compliance indicator: The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

Historical Data

Baseline Data: 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>73.40%</td>
<td>79.00%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Gray – Data Prior to Baseline, Yellow – Baseline, Blue – Data Update

FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicators:

**Indicator 8B: Early Childhood Transition**

**Monitoring Priority:** Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

**Compliance Indicator:** The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Overwrite Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 8</td>
<td>1/10/2017</td>
<td>Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data include notification to both the SEA and LEA

- Yes
- No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,586</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Met Target</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

Number of parents who opted out

This number will be subtracted from the "Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B" field to calculate the denominator for this indicator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of parents who opted out</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe the method used to collect these data

Nevada does not have an opt-out policy for notifications to the State Education Agency (SEA) and the Local Education Agency (LEA).

The compliance percentage for this indicator was derived using the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) child data collection system. In completing the 618 Exit Data Report, Nevada used the categories under Program Completion for FFY 2015 (2015-2016) to calculate the number of children exiting Part C and potentially eligible for Part B. Nevada has defined "potentially eligible for Part B" as all Part C eligible children since Nevada has a restrictive eligibility definition. The IDEA Part C Office issued monthly notifications to the pertinent LEA and to the SEA. The process is verified at multiple levels to ensure appropriate notification has been sent for all children. For this reporting period, there were 1,586 children who were potentially eligible for Part B services. Appropriate notification was issued for all (100%) of these children. Children who were referred less than 45 days prior to their third (3rd) birthday are not included in this calculation.

School districts where there were no children potentially eligible received notifications that stated there were no children in their district who were potentially eligible for Part B during the reporting period.

Do you have a written opt-out policy? No

What is the source of the data provided for this indicator?

- State monitoring
- State database

Provide the time period in which the data were collected (e.g., September through December, fourth quarter, selection from the full reporting period).

Data for this indicator represent all children exiting IDEA Part C services in Nevada and potentially eligible for Part B services from July 1, 2015 to June 30,2016.

Describe how the data accurately reflect data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.
The data includes all infants and toddlers statewide exiting Part C services and potentially eligible for Part B services. It is, therefore, representative of the population served for this indicator.
Indicator 8B: Early Childhood Transition

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

Compliance indicator: The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response, not including correction of findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Correction of Previous Findings of Noncompliance

**Indicator 8B: Early Childhood Transition**

**Correction of Previous Findings of Noncompliance**

**Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition**

Compliance indicator: The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

- A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
- B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
- C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Identified</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected Within One Year</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Subsequently Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified Prior to FFY 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Not Yet Verified as Corrected as of FFY 2014 APR</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 8C: Early Childhood Transition
Historical Data and Targets

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

Compliance indicator: The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

Historical Data

Baseline Data: 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>71.40%</td>
<td>91.60%</td>
<td>89.00%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>97.00%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>97.25%</td>
<td>99.08%</td>
<td>98.87%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Gray – Data Prior to Baseline  Yellow – Baseline  Blue – Data Update

FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 8C: Early Childhood Transition

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

Compliance indicator: The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday; and
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Overwrite Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 8</td>
<td>1/10/2017</td>
<td>Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data reflect only those toddlers for whom the Lead Agency has conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services

Please explain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C where the transition conference occurred at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties at least nine months prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B</th>
<th>Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,483</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td>98.87%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98.74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did Not Meet Target</td>
<td>No Slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

- Number of toddlers for whom the parent did not provide approval for the transition conference

  This number will be subtracted from the “Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B” field to calculate the denominator for this indicator.

  0

- Number of documented delays attributable to exceptional family circumstances

  This number will be added to the “Number of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C where the transition conference occurred at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties at least nine months prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B” field to calculate the numerator for this indicator.

  83

What is the source of the data provided for this indicator?

- State monitoring
- State database

Describe the method used to select EIS programs for monitoring.

Provide the time period in which the data were collected (e.g., September through December, fourth quarter, selection from the full reporting period).

Data includes all children exiting early intervention services and are potentially eligible for Part B between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016.

Describe how the data accurately reflect data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.

The performance data for this indicator are taken from the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) data collection system. All EIS programs are required to maintain individual child data in the TRAC system for all children enrolled in their programs. Reports are pulled quarterly and programs are given the opportunity to review the data pulled. The data is inclusive of all children exiting Part C services with an IFSP on their third birthday, potentially eligible for Part B services during this reporting period. The data for this report are based on the final data for the FFY 2015 reporting period. The data shows:

- 1,586 toddlers exiting Part C services that are potentially eligible for Part B services
- 1,483 toddlers where transition conferences were held at least 90 days before the child's third birthday
- 103 toddlers who did not receive a transition conference in a timely manner
- 83 of those toddlers who did not receive a timely transition conference due to parent exception

FFY 2015 Monitoring Performance
1/31/2017
All thirteen (13) EIS programs were monitored for this indicator. Of the thirteen (13) programs, nine (9) EIS programs were 100% compliant with this indicator. Three (3) programs were found to be substantially compliant with a performance percentage of 95% or above. One (1) program had a performance percentage of 88%. This program has ongoing noncompliance from FFY 2015 and three (3) new findings were issued for this indicator.

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)
Indicator 8C: Early Childhood Transition
Required Actions from FFY 2014

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

Compliance indicator: The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response, **not including correction of findings**
Correction of Previous Findings of Noncompliance

**Monitoring Priority:** Effective General Supervision Part C / Effective Transition

**Compliance indicator:** The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Identified</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected Within One Year</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Subsequently Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FFY 2014 Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected**

Describe how the State verified that the source of noncompliance is correctly implementing the regulatory requirements

To ensure timely Transition Conferences, data reports for this indicator are generated on a quarterly basis from the Tracking Resources and Children (TRAC) data collection system. New data reports generated in quarters subsequent to the issuing of the findings are reviewed. Based on the new data, when a program is found to be at 100% compliance for one (1) quarter, depending on the level of noncompliance, the program is notified of correction.

During FFY 2014, four (4) programs were issued findings in this indicator. Three (3) of these programs were at 100% compliance after the comprehensive monitoring of FFY 2015. Although one (1) program had ongoing noncompliance, the program was substantially compliant at 98% for FFY 2014.

Describe how the State verified that each individual case of noncompliance was corrected

Individual child correction was verified through the TRAC data collection system. The Part C Office verified through quarterly data reports that all children for whom a Transition Conference was not conducted in a timely manner had a Transition Conference completed, although late.

**FFY 2014 Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected**

Actions taken if noncompliance not corrected

In FFY 2015, four (4) programs were issued findings. Three (3) of these programs were considered substantially compliant with a performance percentage of 95% or above. One (1) program had a performance of 88% in this indicator. This finding was ongoing noncompliance from FFY 2014 for this program.

To address noncompliance, the Part C Office has reviewed the improvement activities in the program’s Corrective Action Plan and is continuing to support the EIS programs through the provision of additional training and technical assistance regarding the requirements for a Transition Conference. This program will also submit quarterly progress notes regarding the activities of their Corrective Action Plan (CAP). Ongoing quarterly data collection through TRAC will ensure all EIS programs meet the requirements of this indicator and all children have a timely Transition Conference.

**Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified Prior to FFY 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Not Yet Verified as Corrected as of FFY 2014 APR</th>
<th>Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected</th>
<th>Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 9: Resolution Sessions
Historical Data and Targets

**Baseline Data:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:  
- Gray – Data Prior to Baseline  
- Yellow – Baseline  
- Blue – Data Update

**Explanation of Changes**

Data was prepopulated in this indicator for Nevada. Performance data of 100% was prepopulated for 2008. This data was prepopulated in error as Nevada has not established targets for this indicator due to the low number of requests.

Nevada has corrected this by removing the historical data for the years indicated.

**FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:  
- Blue – Data Update

**Targets: Description of Stakeholder Input**

Performance data for FFY 2008 was prepopulated in error for Nevada for this indicator.

The State is not establishing targets at this time. There has not been a sufficient number of Due Process requests. When there are ten (10) or more requests in a reporting period, targets will be established.
# Indicator 9: Resolution Sessions

**Monitoring Priority:** Effective General Supervision Part C / General Supervision

**Results indicator:** Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

## Prepopulated Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Overwrite Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 EMAPS IDEA Part C Dispute Resolution Survey; Section C: Due Process Complaints</td>
<td>11/2/2016</td>
<td>3.1(a) Number resolution sessions resolved through settlement agreements</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 EMAPS IDEA Part C Dispute Resolution Survey; Section C: Due Process Complaints</td>
<td>11/2/2016</td>
<td>3.1 Number of resolution sessions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1(a) Number resolution sessions resolved through settlement agreements</th>
<th>3.1 Number of resolution sessions</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incomplete Data</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

- Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)
Indicator 9: Resolution Sessions
Required Actions from FFY 2014

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / General Supervision

Results indicator: Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 10: Mediation

Historical Data and Targets

Baseline Data: 2005

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / General Supervision

Results Indicator: Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### Historical Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: 
- Gray – Data Prior to Baseline
- Yellow – Baseline
- Blue – Data Update

### FFY 2015 - FFY 2018 Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥</td>
<td>Key: Blue – Data Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Targets: Description of Stakeholder Input

Nevada is not establishing baseline or targets for this indicator as of FFY 2015. There have not been ten (10) requests for mediation in any reporting year to this point. Baseline and targets will be established when there are ten (10) or more mediation requests.

Nevada Part C has an established system for responding to requests for mediation. A pool of trained mediators is available and funds are set aside each year should a request be submitted. Procedures for requesting mediation are outlined in the Parent Handbook that is given to all families at the time of eligibility determination.
## Prepopulated Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Overwrite Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 EMAPS IDEA Part C Dispute Resolution Survey; Section B: Mediation Requests</td>
<td>11/2/2016</td>
<td>2.1.a.i Mediations agreements related to due process complaints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 EMAPS IDEA Part C Dispute Resolution Survey; Section B: Mediation Requests</td>
<td>11/2/2016</td>
<td>2.1.b.i Mediations agreements not related to due process complaints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY 2015-16 EMAPS IDEA Part C Dispute Resolution Survey; Section B: Mediation Requests</td>
<td>11/2/2016</td>
<td>2.1 Mediations held</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Explanation of Alternate Data

### FFY 2015 SPP/APR Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1.a.i Mediations agreements related to due process complaints</th>
<th>2.1.b.i Mediations agreements not related to due process complaints</th>
<th>2.1 Mediations held</th>
<th>FFY 2014 Data*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Target*</th>
<th>FFY 2015 Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Slippage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FFY 2014 Data and FFY 2015 Target are editable on the Historical Data and Targets page.

[ ] Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)
Indicator 10: Mediation  
Required Actions from FFY 2014  

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / General Supervision  
Results indicator: Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses to actions required in FFY 2014 response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNUAL REPORT CERTIFICATION OF THE INTERAGENCY COORDINATING COUNCIL UNDER PART C OF THE INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (IDEA)

Under IDEA Section 616(b)(2)(C)(i)(II) and 642 and under 34 CFR §80.40, the lead agency's SPP/APR must report on the State's performance under its SPP/APR and contain information about the activities and accomplishments of the grant period for a particular Federal fiscal year (FFY).

1 Under IDEA Sections 616(b)(2)(C)(i)(II) and 642 and under 34 CFR §80.40, the lead agency's SPP/APR must report on the State's performance under its SPP/APR and contain information about the activities and accomplishments of the grant period for a particular Federal fiscal year (FFY).

2 If the ICC is using the State's Part C SPP/APR and it disagrees with data or other information presented in the State's Part C SPP/APR, the ICC must attach to this certification an explanation of the ICC's disagreement and submit the certification and explanation no later than February 1, 2017.